IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O.O.C.J.

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.70 OF 2006

IN

SUIT NO.3538 OF 2005

...

VinodChandra Pranjivandas Mehta ...Plaintiff

v/s.

Kawaljit Nagpal ...Defendant

...

Mr.P.S.Anand for the Plaintiff.

None for the Defendant.

...

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH, J.

DATED: 19TH JUNE, 2006

P.C.:

The suit of the Plaintiff is based on promissory note at Exh.A and Exh.B. The Defendant in the reply does not dispute execution of the promissory note. Mainly he is pleading his financial difficulties.

None appears for the Defendant to argue the matter. I do not find any substantial defence raised by the Defendant. Therefore, the Defendant is not entitled to leave to defend. Summons for judgment is granted. Suit is decreed in terms of prayer clauses of the suit. Refund of court fees as per rules.

...